

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

SINGULAR COMPUTING LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 1:19-cv-12551-FDS

**PLAINTIFF’S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO CONTINUE**

Plaintiff, Singular Computing LLC (“Singular”), respectfully submits this opposition to Google LLC’s (“Google”) motion to continue. At the August 21, 2023 status conference, Google’s counsel requested that the Court move the trial date from January 8, 2024 to January 15, 2024. Dkt. No. 574, Tr. at 4:11-22. Google argued that the move was necessary because Google believes that “it’s important for our whole team to be there on the ground a full week ahead” of the trial. *Id.* at 4:14-15. Google does not want to force its trial team to “leave their families and fly over the New Year’s weekend.” *Id.* at 4:15-17. The Court responded that it would be treating this request as a motion to continue. *Id.* at 4:23-24. For the reasons set forth below, Google’s motion should be denied.

Although Google’s counsel acknowledged that Singular declined to join Google’s request to move the trial from January 8, 2024 to January 15, 2024, Google’s counsel also argued that “[Singular] didn’t indicate any real conflict.” *Id.* at 4:19-22. Singular, however, was never asked if moving the trial a week would create any conflicts. Had Singular been asked, Singular would have informed Google that moving the trial out a week would in fact create a conflict. Specifically, Singular’s technical expert, Dr. Sunil Khatri, who is also a professor at Texas A&M University, begins his school semester on January 16, 2024. Moving the start of trial to January

15, 2024 creates a conflict for Dr. Khatri. Keeping the January 8, 2024 trial date works much better because Dr. Khatri will be on winter break during that week.

In addition, prior to filing its unopposed motion to continue the trial (Dkt. No. 558), the parties conferred to identify any potential conflicts where the parties' lead counsel have previously scheduled trials. Neither party identified any conflicts in the month of January 2024.

In view of the above, Google's motion to continue the trial should be denied.

Dated: August 22, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Kevin Gannon

Matthew D. Vella (BBO #660171)

Adam R. Doherty (BBO #669499)

Kevin Gannon (BBO #640931)

Brian M. Seeve (BBO #670455)

Daniel McGonagle (BBO #690084)

**PRINCE LOBEL TYE LLP**

One International Place, Suite 3700

Boston, MA 02110

Tel: (617) 456-8000

Fax: (617) 456-8100

Email: mvella@princelobel.com

Email: adoherty@princelobel.com

Email: kgannon@princelobel.com

Email: bseeve@princelobel.com

Email: dmcgonagle@princelobel.com

Kerry L. Timbers (BBO #552293)

**SUNSTEIN LLP**

100 High Street

Boston, MA 02110

Tel: (617) 443-9292

Email: ktimbers@sunsteinlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that all counsel of record who have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Kevin Gannon